

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

22

[REDACTED]

APR 17 2008

FILE: [REDACTED] MSC 03 206 60631

Office: ORLANDO Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. The file has been returned to the National Benefits Center. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The District Director, Orlando, denied the application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish that he entered the United States prior to January 1, 1982.

On his Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal to the AAO, filed on January 17, 2008, the applicant stated the following:

In reference to the decision letter I received in Dec. 2007. I am trying to locate the persons you filled my paperwork for my adjustment of status unfortunately I am not familiar with the whole immigration process and I think I have been guided wrong by person charging me to finalize the process.

I am asking an extension so I can locate the persons who filled out the I-485 in April 2003 because I am almost positive that is a typo on their behalf.

Thank you for your patience

He also indicated that he would not be submitting a supplemental brief and/or evidence to the AAO. The applicant did not allege any legal or factual error in the director's decision and did not submit additional documents. As of the date of this decision, no additional evidence has been submitted, and the record will be deemed complete.

Any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv). A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence and has not addressed the basis for denial. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.