

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals, MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

62

File:

EAC 02 124 51465

Office: NEW YORK DISTRICT OFFICE

Date:

AUG 04 2009

IN RE:

Applicant:


Petition:

Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485) Pursuant to
Section 245 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1255

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.



John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the District Director, New York, New York and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.¹

The record reflects that the applicant is a native and citizen of Mexico. On July 14, 2008, the district director denied the applicant's Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Resident or Adjust Status, and counsel for the applicant filed an appeal from that denial. The AAO does not have appellate jurisdiction over an appeal from the denial of an application for adjustment of status.

The authority to adjudicate appeals is delegated to the AAO by the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) pursuant to the authority vested in her through the Homeland Security Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-296. See DHS Delegation Number 0150.1 (effective March 1, 2003); see also 8 C.F.R. § 2.1 (2003). The AAO exercises appellate jurisdiction over the matters described at 8 C.F.R. § 103.1(f)(3)(iii) (as in effect on February 28, 2003), with one exception - petitions for approval of schools and the appeals of denials of such petitions are now the responsibility of Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

The AAO cannot exercise appellate jurisdiction over additional matters on its own volition, or at the request of an applicant or petitioner. As a "statement of general . . . applicability and future effect designed to implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy," the creation of appeal rights for adjustment application denials meets the definition of an agency "rule" under section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act. The granting of appeal rights has a "substantive legal effect" because it is creating a new administrative "right," and it involves an economic interest (the fee). "If a rule creates rights, assigns duties, or imposes obligations, the basic tenor of which is not already outlined in the law itself, then it is substantive." *La Casa Del Convaleciente v. Sullivan*, 965 F.2d 1175, 1178 (1st Cir. 1992). All substantive or legislative rule making requires notice and comment in the Federal Register.

The AAO does not have jurisdiction over an appeal from the denial of a Form I-485 adjustment application filed under section 245 of the Immigration and Nationality Act. Accordingly, the appeal must be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.

¹ The AAO notes that the applicant submitted a motion on this matter which was granted by the district director on February 27, 2009. Upon review, the district director approved the application on March 25, 2009 and notice of the approval was sent to the applicant on April 6, 2009. Thus, even if the AAO had jurisdiction, its adjudication would be moot.