

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



L2

FILE:  Office: LOS ANGELES Date: **MAR 06 2009**
MSC 02 239 60204

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director concluded that the applicant had been convicted of at least three misdemeanors in the United States, and accordingly, denied the application.¹

On appeal, the applicant merely asserts that he was in the process of filing petitions to the court in order to have his convictions expunged.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence relevant to the grounds for denial on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.

¹ The director, in denying the application, also determined that the applicant was inadmissible under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) due to his conviction of inflicting corporal injury upon a spouse or cohabitant. However, the applicant was convicted of a single misdemeanor crime involving moral turpitude and was not sentenced to imprisonment for a period of over six months for this offense. Therefore, this conviction **alone** is not sufficient to establish the applicant's inadmissibility under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Act.