

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals
Washington, DC 20529-2090

PUBLIC COPY



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

L2



FILE: MSC 02 248 62328

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date: **MAR 23 2009**

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The district director denied the application because the applicant: 1) had not demonstrated that he had continuously resided in the United States in an unlawful status since before January 1, 1982, through May 4, 1988; and 2) was found to be inadmissible under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) of the Immigration and Nationality Act due to his February 10, 2003 convictions for violating sections 243(E)(1) PC, *battery against co and noncohabitant/spouse* and 273a(b) PC, *child abuse*.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that he has filed an expungement petition before the California Superior Court and provides copies of documents that were previously submitted. The applicant requests an extension of 30 days in which to submit a brief and/or evidence. However, more than three years later, no additional correspondence has been presented by the applicant.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. A review of the decision reveals the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.