

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

L2

FILE:

MSC 02 030 60468

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date:

OCT 19 2009

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), amended by Life Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The director decided that the applicant had not established that he resided in the United States in a continuous unlawful status from before January 1, 1982 through May 4, 1988. This decision was based on the director's determination that the applicant had exceeded the forty-five (45) day limit for a single absence from the United States during this period.

On appeal, the applicant does not address the basis for the denial of his application or provide any evidence to overcome the director's findings. The applicant merely requests an extension of 120 days in which to submit a brief and/or evidence. To date, however, no additional correspondence has been presented by the applicant.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated for denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

It is noted that the applicant filed a Form I-687 application under CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements. The AAO will address the applicant's appeal of the denial of that application in a separate decision.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.