




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



22

FILE: [REDACTED]  
MSC 02 249 61551

Office: PHOENIX

Date:


SEP 23 2009

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Permanent Resident pursuant to Section 1104 of the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act of 2000, Pub. L. 106-553, 114 Stat. 2762 (2000), *amended by* LIFE Act Amendments, Pub. L. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom  
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application for permanent resident status under the Legal Immigration Family Equity (LIFE) Act was denied by the director in Phoenix, Arizona. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant, a native of Mexico who claims to have lived in the United States since 1981, filed her application for legal permanent resident status under the LIFE Act (Form I-485) on June 6, 2002. The director denied the application on February 5, 2007, on the grounds that the applicant failed to submit sufficient credible evidence to establish that she entered the United States before January 1, 1982, resided continuously in the country in an unlawful status through May 4, 1988, and was physically present in the United States from November 6, 1986 through May 4, 1988. In particular, the director cited numerous inconsistencies in the documentation of record concerning the applicant's residential addresses during the 1980s as well as her dates of entry and departure from the United States during the 1980s, which undermined the credibility of all the applicant's evidence. The director determined that the affidavits in the record are substantively deficient. The applicant timely appealed the decision to the AAO.

On her Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal, the applicant asserts that the director failed to properly evaluate the evidence in the record. The applicant requests a copy of her "legalization record," and indicates that she will submit a brief/or evidence within thirty days of receiving the documents. The record reflects that the applicant's request was processed on March 29, 2009. As of the date of this decision, no additional evidence or brief has been submitted by the applicant as she indicted. The record reflects that the applicant does not address the evidentiary conflicts discussed by the director, offering no explanation for the numerous discrepancies set forth in the Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) and the decision. The applicant does not allege any legal or factual error in the director's decision and does not submit additional documentation in support of the appeal. As of the date of this decision, no additional evidence has been submitted, and the record will be deemed complete.

Any appeal that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv).

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not presented additional evidence. Nor has she addressed the fundamental bases for the denial – i.e., the conflicting documentation and information in the record.

The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.