



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)



Date: **JUN 18 2015**

FILE: 

IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 210 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1160

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

NO REPRESENTATIVE OF RECORD

Enclosed is the non-precedent decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for your case. This decision is final as you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Western (now California) Service Center Director denied the application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

In a decision dated September 14, 1992, the director denied the application because the applicant failed to pay the required application fee. On August 5, 1992, the director notified the applicant of his intent to deny the application for failure to submit the proper application fee. The director noted that the \$185.00 money order for the application fee the applicant submitted had been returned to the Service due to the money being reported lost, stolen, or stopped. The applicant was allowed 30 days to respond, but he did not do so.

On appeal, the applicant states that he lost his job and needed to borrow money to pay the application fee. He indicates that he encloses a copy of a money order. The record, however, does not include a copy of a money order.

The applicant has not properly filed his application because he did not submit the required application filing fee. For this reason, the application must be denied. The filing period for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker was from May 5, 1987 to May 4, 1988. The applicant did not submit the proper filing fee during the application period.

Based on our review of the decision, we conclude the director accurately explained a legitimate basis for denial of the application. The applicant has not overcome the basis of the director's decision. The appeal shall be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.