

PUBLIC COPY



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



M1

FILE: [Redacted]

[SRC 03 167 53542]

Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER

Date: **AUG 19 2005**

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann
Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The matter will be remanded for further consideration and action.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application after determining that the applicant had abandoned his application by failing to respond to a request for evidence.

If all requested initial evidence and requested additional evidence is not submitted by the required date, the application or petition shall be considered abandoned and, accordingly, shall be denied. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13). A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant or petitioner may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

The record reveals that the applicant filed his initial TPS application on May 27, 2003. On August 5, 2003, the applicant was requested to submit additional evidence establishing his eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing his continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since January 5, 1999. In addition, the applicant was requested to submit evidence of his identity and nationality. The record does not contain a response from the applicant; therefore, the director concluded that the applicant had abandoned his application and denied the application on January 15, 2004.

The director advised the applicant that, while the decision could not be appealed, the applicant could file a motion to reopen. The applicant timely responded to the director's decision on January 29, 2004; however, the director erroneously accepted the applicant's response as an appeal instead of a motion to reopen and forwarded the file to the AAO. As the director's decision was based on abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over this case. Therefore, the case will be remanded and the director shall consider the applicant's response as a motion to reopen.

It is noted that among the documents submitted with the initial application, the applicant included the biographic page of his Honduran passport issued on April 14, 1999, and bearing the stamp of the Secretaria de Relaciones Exteriores, Honduras, thereby precluding a favorable finding as to his continuous physical presence and continuous residence in the United States.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

ORDER: The case is remanded to the director for further action consistent with the above.