U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. A3042
Washington, DC 20529

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

M1

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: MAY 06 2005
[WAC 01 202 51089]

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant had failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish: (1) continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001; and (2) continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

On appeal, the applicant states that she has "been here since many years ago and I am going to submit the evidence later." While the applicant indicates that a brief and/or evidence will be furnished within 30 days, to date, no additional statement or evidence has been provided.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

> *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Further, while the applicant states that additional evidence will be provided, the file contains no further response from the applicant. Accordingly, the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.