

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



M1

FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

MAY 02 2006

[WAC 05 098 77039]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The applicant is a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed his initial TPS application on February 4, 2002, under CIS receipt number SRC 02 099 54258. The record reflects that the Director, Texas Service Center, denied that application for TPS on June 3, 2003, because the applicant failed to establish his eligibility for TPS late registration.

The applicant filed the instant Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on January 6, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS. On July 23, 2005, the director denied the instant re-registration application because the applicant's TPS had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

An appeal that is not filed within the time allowed must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee accepted will not be refunded. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(1).

Whenever a person has the right or is required to do some act within a prescribed period after the service of a notice upon him or her and the notice is served by mail, three days shall be added to the prescribed period. Service by mail is complete upon mailing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The director's decision of denial, dated July 23, 2005, clearly advised the applicant that any appeal must be properly filed within thirty days after service of the decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i). Coupled with three days for mailing, the appeal, in this case, should have been filed on or before August 28, 2005. The director's decision was very clear in indicating that the appeal is to be sent to the California Service Center. The applicant, nevertheless, filed his appeal with the Providence District Office. The appeal is not considered properly received until it is received by the Service Center that rendered the unfavorable decision. The appeal was received at the proper office, the California Service Center, on August 30, 2005.

As always in these proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

Based upon the applicant's failure to file a timely appeal, the appeal will be rejected.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.