


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



M

OCT 31 2006

FILE:



Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

[WAC 05 222 86594]

IN RE:


Applicant:



APPLICATION:


Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.



Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application during the initial registration period on October 26, 2001 under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number WAC 02 041 51159. The Director, California Service Center, denied that application for abandonment on January 7, 2003, because the applicant failed to appear for fingerprinting. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the applicant filed a motion to reopen the director's decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on May 10, 2005, and indicated that she was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant timely filed for TPS. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant has not previously been granted TPS. Therefore, she is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

It is noted that the director's decision does not explore the possibility that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant may apply for TPS during the initial registration period, or:

- (f) (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief

from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record reveals that the applicant filed the current application with CIS on May 10, 2005.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period she fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant timely filed for TPS and has made good faith efforts to re-register in subsequent renewal periods. According to the applicant, she never received the notice to appear for fingerprinting and her legal representative at the time did not advise her of the fingerprint appointment. The notice was sent to the applicant's address of record. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the notice was returned by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable. Furthermore, CIS is not responsible for the inaction, or ineffective action, of the applicant's representative.

The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. However, this does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file her TPS application within the initial registration period. Counsel also asserts that the applicant had a TPS application pending during the initial registration period, which is an application for adjustment. In addition, counsel states that her March 8, 2005 application should be construed as an application for late registration, which was made in the next open period for late registration.

Having an application for TPS pending during the initial registration period does not render an alien eligible for late registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Moreover, taking counsel's argument to its logical extreme, an alien who had abandoned his initial application could then file a new application within 60 days after the abandonment, abandon the new application, and perpetuate this contempt of the application process indefinitely;

thus enjoying the benefits of Temporary Protected Status without ever being approved for TPS and/or successfully completing the application process. However, the provisions for late registration detailed in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) were not created to allow aliens who had abandoned their initial applications to circumvent the normal application and adjudication process. Rather, these provisions were created in order to ensure that Temporary Protected Status benefits were made available to aliens who did not register during the initial registration period for the various circumstances specifically identified in the regulations.

The applicant has failed to provide any evidence to establish that this application should be accepted as a late initial registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Therefore, the application also must be denied for this reason.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.