



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

MI

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUL 02 2007

[consolidated therein]

[EAC 02 081 54738]

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish he had: 1) continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and 2) been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant claims that the applicant responded to the request for evidence. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period, announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation, if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

- (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The term *continuously physically present*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until September 9, 2007, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record shows that the applicant filed his TPS application on January 7, 2002. On March 26, 2004, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001, to the filing date of the application. The applicant was also requested to submit two color photos. The applicant failed to respond to the notice.

The director determined that the applicant failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant submitted evidence in response to the request for evidence. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. Specifically, the submits:

1. A copy of a U.S. Postal Service letter dated January 7, 2005.
2. Copies of letters from [REDACTED], and an unsigned letter from the Social Security Administration.

3. Copies of a statement from Eagle Insurance Co., dated February 5, 2001 and a letter from AT&T dated May 3, 2001.
4. A copy of a pay stub dated April 27, 2001.
5. Copies of account statements from Verizon and AT&T dated March 12, 2001, July 10, 2001, October 6, 2001, November 5, 2001, December 6, 2001, January 5, 2002, June 24, 2001, July 16, 2002, and September 16, 2002.
6. A copy of a New York State Interim Permit issued on January 3, 2002.

The U.S. Postal Service letter states that someone had an item delivered to the Vermont Service Center on April 2, 2004. However, there is nothing in the document to establish that this pertains to the applicant, or to what was delivered. [REDACTED] states that he has known the applicant since 2001. However, this statement has little evidentiary weight or probative value as it does not provide basic information that is expressly required by 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a)(2)(v). Specifically, the pastor does not explain the origin of the information to which he attests, nor does he provide the address where the applicant resided during the period of his involvement with the church.

[REDACTED] letter informs the applicant that he has a hearing scheduled at the Melville Town Hall on January 9, 2001. However, this document appears to be altered and lacks credibility. The letter is dated January 8, 2001, and informs the applicant that his hearing is scheduled for January 9, 2001. However, in the letter, the applicant is instructed to contact the office “two days prior to schedule an appointment for preparation of this hearing” (emphasis added). This discrepancy has not been satisfactorily explained. Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The letter from the Social Security Administration and the statement from Eagle Insurance Co. indicate that the applicant was in the United States prior to the requisite period. However, this evidence cannot establish the applicant's continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. The remaining evidence indicates the applicant was present in the United States during part of the requisite period, but does not establish the applicant's continuous residence since February 13, 2001, or his continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001 to the filing date of the TPS applicant.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish that he has met the criteria for continuous residence and continuous physical presence described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.