

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M I



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER
[WAC 05 098 75808]

Date: MAR 01 2007

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to
the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Nicaragua who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed his initial TPS application on June 28, 2003, under Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) receipt number EAC 03 208 51047. The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied that application on May 10, 2004, because the applicant failed to establish his eligibility to file for late initial registration. On June 12, 2004, the applicant filed an appeal from the denial decision. The Director (now Chief), AAO, dismissed that appeal on September 30, 2005.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on January 6, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

The applicant appears to be represented; however, the individual listed, as a representative on appeal is not authorized under 8 C.F.R. 292.1 or 292.2 to represent the applicant. The decision will be furnished only to the applicant.

On appeal, the applicant states that he qualifies for late initial registration for TPS because he had an adjustment of status application pending. The applicant also states that he would submit a brief and/or evidence within 30 days. To date, there has been no further correspondence from the applicant or counsel. Therefore, the record must be considered complete.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant is not a current TPS registrant. Therefore, he is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

It is noted that the director's decision does not explore the possibility that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant may apply for TPS during the initial registration period, or:

- (f) (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:

- (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The initial registration period for Nicaraguans was from January 5, 1999 to August 20, 1999. The record reveals that the applicant filed the current application with CIS on January 6, 2005.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On appeal, the applicant states that he is eligible for late initial registration for TPS because he had an application for adjustment of status pending. According to the applicant, he did not know this case was closed. CIS records indicate that the applicant did have a Form I-485, Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status. While the applicant's pending adjustment of status application technically rendered him eligible for late registration, regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 244(g) also require a late registration to be filed within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in 9 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The Form I-485 was terminated on September 17, 2002. The applicant's 60-day period for late registration expired on November 16, 2002, more than three years subsequent to the end of the initial registration period on August 20, 1999. The applicant filed his TPS application on June 28, 2003, more than six months after the end of the registration period and his 60-day period for late registration. Furthermore, the notice was sent to the applicant's address of record. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the notice was returned to CIS by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable. Therefore, the applicant's failure to receive the notice is of his own making. The applicant also states that he would submit a brief and/or evidence within 30 days. To date, there has

been no further correspondence from the applicant or counsel. Therefore, the record must be considered complete.

The applicant has failed to provide any evidence to establish that this application should be accepted as a late initial registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Therefore, the application also must be denied for this reason.

Beyond the decision of the director, it is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish that he is a national or citizen of Nicaragua. It is also noted that on some of the documents submitted by the applicant, he claims to be a native of Nicaragua. However, on other documents he presented, the applicant claimed to be a native of Honduras. These discrepancies have not been satisfactorily explained. Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.