

**identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
20 Mass. Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

M1

JUL 30 2008

FILE: [REDACTED]
[WAC 05 223 77227]

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]


APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


for Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is stated to be a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application during the initial registration period on April 2, 2001, under receipt number LIN 01 154 50347. The Director, Nebraska Service Center (NSC), denied that application for abandonment on September 25, 2001, because the applicant failed to appear for fingerprinting. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the applicant filed a motion to reopen the director's decision. However, the record of proceedings reveals that the applicant was fingerprinted in connection with his subsequent application. The applicant filed a TPS re-registration application during the initial registration period on August 5, 2002 under receipt number LIN 02 255 51787. The Director, NSC, denied that application on May 3, 2003, because the applicant failed to establish his identity and failed to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods. On June 27, 2003, the applicant filed an appeal from the denial decision. The Director, NSC, treated the appeal as a motion and denied the motion on August 12, 2003. On September 27, 2003, the applicant filed a motion to reopen the director's decision. On November 18, 2003, the Director, NSC, denied the motion. There is nothing in the record to indicate that the applicant filed another motion to reopen the director's decision.

The applicant filed the current Form I-821, Application for Temporary Protected Status, on February 4, 2005, and indicated that he was re-registering for TPS.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has not received a notice denying his TPS since he received his work authorization in 2004 and therefore should be allowed to re-register for TPS. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period.

If the applicant is filing an application as a re-registration, a previous grant of TPS must have been afforded the applicant, as only those individuals who are granted TPS must register annually. In addition, the applicant must continue to maintain the conditions of eligibility. 8 C.F.R. § 244.17.

In this case, the applicant is not a current TPS registrant. Therefore, he is not eligible to re-register for TPS. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application will be affirmed.

It is noted that the director's decision does not explore the possibility that the applicant was attempting to file a late initial application for TPS instead of an annual re-registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record reveals that the applicant filed the current application with CIS on February 4, 2005.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by CIS. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has received work authorization since 2004 and has never received a notice denying his TPS. Counsel attributes the granting of employment authorization as approval of his TPS application. However, the applicant was granted employment authorization contingent on the approval of his TPS application. Once the TPS application was denied, the applicant was no longer eligible for this benefit. The applicant also submits evidence in an attempt to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. However, this does not mitigate the applicant's failure to file his TPS application within the initial registration period.

The applicant has failed to provide any evidence to establish that this application should be accepted as a late initial registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Therefore, the application also must be denied for this reason.

The record of proceeding reflects that on June 5, 1996, an immigration judge ordered the applicant removed from the United States to El Salvador. A Warrant of Removal/Deportation, Form I-205, was issued on June 14, 1996.

Beyond the decision of the director, it is noted that the applicant has provided insufficient evidence to establish his qualifying continuous residence since February 13, 2001 and continuous physical presence from March 9, 2001 to the filing date of the TPS application. It is also noted that the applicant has also failed to establish his identity and nationality. Therefore, the application must be denied for these reasons as well.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.