



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

M1

[REDACTED]

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER
[WAC 01 195 51571 as it pertains to
[WAC 05 800 33638]

Date: DEC 03 2009

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration
and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The initial application was denied by the Director, California Service Center. A subsequent application for re-registration was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is currently before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The initial application will be reopened, *sua sponte*, by the Chief, Administrative Appeals Office, and the case will be remanded for further consideration and action.

The applicant is a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The applicant filed an initial application for TPS under receipt number WAC 01 199 51571. The director denied the initial application as abandoned on February 24, 2004, after determining that the applicant had failed to appear for fingerprinting. However, the record of proceedings reveals that the applicant was subsequently fingerprinted in connection with his subsequent application.

The director's denial of the initial application will be withdrawn; the application will be remanded for a new decision. The director's denial of the application for re-registration or renewal is dependent upon the adjudication of the initial application. Since the denial of the initial application is being withdrawn, this decision will be remanded to the director for further adjudication.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. The applicant's February 13, 2008 Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) Fingerprint Results Report indicates the applicant was arrested on May 2, 1999 by the San Francisco Police Department for "Poss Narcotic Cntrl Sub." The AAO requested the applicant to furnish the final court disposition for this arrest. The applicant submitted a San Francisco Police Department Criminal History Report indicating the applicant was released because of a questionable search or seizure. Therefore, the arrest did not result in a conviction that would preclude the applicant from receiving TPS.

To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b). The applicant has met this burden.

The record does not reflect any grounds that would bar the applicant from receiving TPS. There are no other known grounds of ineligibility. However, the validity period of the applicant's fingerprint check has expired.

Accordingly, the case is remanded for the purpose of sending the applicant a fingerprint notification form, and affording him the opportunity to comply with its requirements. Thereafter, the director will render a new decision. Should the decision be adverse, the director must give written notice setting forth the specific reasons for the denial pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(i), and the applicant shall be permitted to file an appeal without fee.

ORDER: The initial application is reopened, the director's decision is withdrawn, and the application is remanded for further action consistent with the director's new decision on the initial application.