

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M₁



JAN 28 2010

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date:
[WAC 99 144 55218]

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The applicant's Temporary Protected Status was withdrawn by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded.

The applicant is a citizen of Nicaragua seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application during the initial registration period on April 23, 1999, under receipt number WAC 99 144 55218. The Director, California Service Center, approved that application on September 8, 2000.

The director may withdraw the status of an alien granted Temporary Protected Status under section 244 of the Act at any time if it is determined that the alien was not in fact eligible at the time such status was granted, or at any time thereafter becomes ineligible for such status. 8.C.F.R. § 244.14(a)(1).

The director withdrew temporary protected status because the applicant had failed to submit evidence necessary for the proper adjudication of the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that he was only convicted of one misdemeanor and that after an extensive search determined that no record was found under his name.

An alien shall not be eligible for temporary protected status under this section if the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security finds that the alien has been convicted of any felony or two or more misdemeanors committed in the United States. See Section 244(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. § 244.4(a).

8 C.F.R. § 244.1 defines "felony" and "misdemeanor:"

Felony means a crime committed in the United States, punishable by imprisonment for a term of more than one year, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, except: When the offense is defined by the State as a misdemeanor and the sentence actually imposed is one year or less regardless of the term such alien actually served. Under this exception for purposes of section 244 of the Act, the crime shall be treated as a misdemeanor.

Misdemeanor means a crime committed in the United States, either

- (1) Punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, or
- (2) A crime treated as a misdemeanor under the term "felony" of this section.

For purposes of this definition, any crime punishable by imprisonment for a maximum term of five days or less shall not be considered a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 244.1.

The record reveals the following offenses:

- (1) On May 21, 2000, the applicant was arrested by the Hollister, [California] Sheriff's Office for "DUI Alcohol/Drugs," DUI Alcohol/0.8 Percent," and "Failure Prove Fin Rsp." [REDACTED]
- (2) On July 28, 2007, the applicant was arrested by the Huntington, California Police Department for "Disorderly Conduct, Drugs with Alcohol."

Pursuant to a notice dated December 30, 2008, the applicant was requested to submit the final court disposition for each of the charges detailed above. The applicant submitted the final disposition for the May 21, 2000 arrest which indicated that on September 19, 2000, the applicant was convicted of "DUI Alcohol/.08 Percent." The applicant failed to provide the final disposition for the July 28, 2007 arrest.

The director withdrew temporary protected status because the applicant had failed to submit evidence necessary for the proper adjudication of the application.

On appeal, the applicant claims that he was only convicted of one misdemeanor and that an extensive search found that no records were found of the July 28, arrest. The applicant also submitted a Certification of No Records from the Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles which states that no cases in the name of [REDACTED] were revealed. Consequently, the applicant has submitted sufficient evidence to establish that he has only been convicted of one misdemeanor.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b). The applicant has met this burden. The record does not reflect any grounds that would bar the applicant from receiving TPS. There are no other known grounds of ineligibility; consequently, the director's decision will be withdrawn. However, the validity period of the applicant's fingerprint check has expired.

Accordingly, the case is remanded for the purpose of sending the applicant a fingerprint notification form, and affording him the opportunity to comply with its requirements. Thereafter, the director will render a new decision. Should the decision be adverse, the director must give written notice setting forth

the specific reasons for the denial pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(i), and the applicant shall be permitted to file an appeal without fee.

ORDER: The case is remanded for appropriate action and decision consistent with the foregoing.