

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

M1

[REDACTED]

FILE:

[REDACTED]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUN 02 2010

IN RE:

Applicant:

[REDACTED]

APPLICATION:

Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the Vermont Service Center by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. A subsequent appeal was summarily dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen and motion to reconsider. The motion will be dismissed.

The applicant is a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant: 1) failed to establish he was eligible for late registration; and 2) had been convicted of at least two misdemeanors in the United States.

On March 18, 2010, the AAO summarily dismissed the appeal as counsel for the applicant failed to provide any evidence, on appeal, to establish the applicant's eligibility for TPS and to overcome the director's findings

It is noted that the applicant incorrectly indicated on the Form I-290B that he was filing an appeal from the AAO's decision of March 18, 2010. The AAO will treat the filing of the Form I-290B as a motion to reopen and motion to reconsider.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding, and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2).

A motion to reconsider must state the reason for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy ... [and] must, when filed, also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3).

A motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

On motion, the applicant apologizes for his previous criminal acts. The applicant requests that the director disregard the late filing requirements and afford him the opportunity to apply for a waiver of admissibility. The applicant requests that his application be reconsidered due to humanitarian reasons.

The applicant's statements have been considered. However, there is no waiver available, even for humanitarian reasons, due to the applicant's ineligibility under section 244(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. §§ 244.4(a).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the applicant. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. That burden has not been met since the applicant has not provided any new facts and failed to cite precedent decisions supporting a motion to reconsider.

Accordingly, the motion to reopen and motion to reconsider will be dismissed and the previous decision of the AAO will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed. The previous decision of the AAO is affirmed.