

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M₁



FILE: [REDACTED]
[EAC 09 079 74330]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: **MAR 03 2010**

IN RE: Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish he had: 1) continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001; and 2) been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that the director's decision is arbitrary and capricious.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General is eligible for temporary protected status only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Attorney General may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period, announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation, if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

The term *continuously physically present*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as used in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until September 9, 2010, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record shows that the applicant filed his initial TPS application on December 7, 2008.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record shows that the applicant filed his TPS application on December 7, 2008. On April 8, 2009, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing his nationality and identity, his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001 and his continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001 to the date of filing the application. The applicant, in response, provided copies of receipts from [REDACTED] dated April 16, 2001 and April 23, 2001 and statements from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

The director determined that the applicant failed to submit sufficient evidence to establish his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying period. Therefore, the director denied the application.

On appeal, the applicant states that the director's decision is arbitrary and capricious. According to the applicant the content, not the grammar of [REDACTED] statement should be in question.

The receipts from [REDACTED] are dated subsequent to the requisite dates to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. In his statement, [REDACTED] states that he treated the patient in April 2001. As pointed out by the director, this statement lacks credibility because the address indicated on the statement is different from the address on the receipts and the statement contains several grammatical errors. Contrary to the applicant's claim, pointing this out is not arbitrary and capricious, but instead goes to the credibility of the statement itself. Furthermore, even accepting that the statement is true and correct and the receipts are correct, they can only establish the applicant's presence in the United States since April 2001, which is subsequent to the requisite dates to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence. Therefore, these documents are of little or no probative value in establishing the applicant's continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the qualifying periods. [REDACTED] states that he indicated on his NACARA application, filed on April 18, 2001, that his son was in El Salvador because his previous attorney told him that he could not benefit from the NACARA application and he was worried that his son would be arrested and deported back to El Salvador. As discussed above, the applicant has failed to provide any evidence of his presence in the United States prior to April 2001. Therefore, [REDACTED] claim lacks credibility.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient evidence to establish that he has met the criteria for continuous residence and continuous physical presence described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be affirmed.

Beyond the director's decision, it is noted that although the applicant has submitted a copy of a birth certificate with English translation, it was not accompanied by a passport or any national identity document from his country of origin bearing photo and/or fingerprint to establish his nationality and identity. Therefore, the application must be denied on this basis as well.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for temporary protected status has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.