

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M₁



MAR 17 2010

FILE:



Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

[SRC 01 222 62539]

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The applicant's Temporary Protected Status was withdrawn by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case is remanded.

The applicant is a citizen of Honduras who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The record reveals that the applicant filed a TPS application on June 22, 2001, under receipt number SRC 01 222 62539. The Director, Texas Service Center, approved that application on April 25, 2002.

The director may withdraw the status of an alien granted Temporary Protected Status under section 244 of the Act at any time if it is determined that the alien was not in fact eligible at the time such status was granted, or at any time thereafter becomes ineligible for such status. 8.C.F.R. § 244.14(a)(1).

The director withdrew temporary protected status because the applicant failed to submit the requested court documentation relating to his criminal record.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant was convicted of only one misdemeanor. The applicant also submits evidence in support of this claim.

An alien shall not be eligible for temporary protected status under this section if the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security finds that the alien has been convicted of any felony or two or more misdemeanors committed in the United States. See Section 244(c)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 8 C.F.R. § 244.4(a).

8 C.F.R. § 244.1 defines "felony" and "misdemeanor:"

Felony means a crime committed in the United States, punishable by imprisonment for a term of more than one year, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, except: When the offense is defined by the State as a misdemeanor and the sentence actually imposed is one year or less regardless of the term such alien actually served. Under this exception for purposes of section 244 of the Act, the crime shall be treated as a misdemeanor.

Misdemeanor means a crime committed in the United States, either

- (1) Punishable by imprisonment for a term of one year or less, regardless of the term such alien actually served, if any, or
- (2) A crime treated as a misdemeanor under the term "felony" of this section.

For purposes of this definition, any crime punishable by imprisonment for a maximum term of five days or less shall not be considered a misdemeanor. 8 C.F.R. § 244.1.

The record reveals the following offenses:

- (1) On December 19, 2005, the applicant was arrested by the York, South Carolina Police Department for "Driving Without a License."
- (2) On January 15, 2006, the applicant was arrested by the York, South Carolina Sheriff's Office for "Illegal Dist of Recording."
- (3) On January 26, 2007, the applicant was arrested by the Mecklenburg County Sheriff's Office for "Resisting an Officer."

Pursuant to a notice dated July 6, 2007, the applicant was requested to submit the final court disposition for each of the charges detailed above. The applicant failed to respond to the notice.

The director withdrew temporary protected status because the applicant had failed to submit evidence necessary for the proper adjudication of the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant states that the applicant was convicted of only one misdemeanor. The applicant also submits evidence in support of this claim. Specifically, the applicant submitted a letter from the Supervisor, District Court Team Mecklenburg County District Attorney's Office in which she stated that "Resisting Public Officer" charge had been voluntarily dismissed. The applicant also submits a letter from the Director, Pre-Trial Intervention Program who states that the applicant has been accepted into the program and therefore did not result in a conviction. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for temporary protected status will be withdrawn.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b). The applicant has met this burden. The record does not reflect any grounds that would bar the applicant from receiving TPS. There are no other known grounds of ineligibility; consequently, the director's decision will be withdrawn. However, the validity period of the applicant's fingerprint check has expired.

Accordingly, the case is remanded for the purpose of sending the applicant a fingerprint notification form, and affording him the opportunity to comply with its requirements. Thereafter, the director will

render a new decision. Should the decision be adverse, the director must give written notice setting forth the specific reasons for the denial pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(i), and the applicant shall be permitted to file an appeal without fee.

ORDER: The case is remanded for appropriate action and decision consistent with the foregoing.