

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

M1



FILE:



Office:



Date:

NOV 30 2010

IN RE:

Applicant:



APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the [REDACTED] Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the [REDACTED] Service Center by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for Temporary Protected Status was denied by the Director, Service Center. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a citizen of the who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director withdrew the applicant's TPS which had been granted on March 25, 2010 as the applicant was not in fact eligible for such status as he had failed to establish that he was a national of a country designated as eligible under the provisions of TPS.

On appeal, the applicant's mother asserts that although she has a passport, she has been a resident of since 2007. The mother asserts that she does not have any connection with "except the passport that my husband obtained for me a long time ago for travel purposes." The mother asserts that due to the conditions currently in it will impossible to return to the country. The mother requests that the applicant's TPS application be reconsidered.

The director may withdraw the status of an alien granted Temporary Protected Status under section 244 of the Act at any time if it is determined that the alien was not in fact eligible at the time such status was granted, or at any time thereafter becomes ineligible for such status. 8.C.F.R. § 244.14(a)(1).

Pursuant to section 244(c) of the Act, an alien who is a national of a foreign state designated under subsection (b) of this section (or in the case of an alien having no nationality, is a person who last habitually resided in such designated state) and who meets the requirements of subsection (c) of this section, may be granted TPS in the United States. Further, 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(a) provides that an alien who is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act, may, in the discretion of the director, be granted TPS. Section 101(a)(21) of the Act defines the term "national" to mean a person owing permanent allegiance to a state.

The record reflects that the applicant was born on September 11, 2008, in to a mother. The applicant was admitted to the United States on August 15, 2009, as a nonimmigrant visitor and as a citizen of the. The applicant was authorized to remain until February 24, 2010. On his TPS application, the applicant listed his country of citizenship/nationality as and his country of residence as. The record also contains a copy of his mother's passport.

On appeal, the applicant's mother asserts that she became a resident of in 2007 and provides a copy of her residence permit as evidence. A review of the mother's residence permit reflects that it was issued on November 30, 2007 and renewed through 2009.

The United States Court of Appeals, in *Chee Kin Jang v. Reno*, 113 F. 3d 1074 (9th Cir. 1997), found that the Service reasonably interpreted the term "PRC national" in CSPA (Chinese Student Protection Act) to Exclude Chinese dual nationals who did not declare citizenship of PRC (People's Republic of China) when they entered the United States, and that the Service's treatment of PRC dual nationals, depending on whether they entered under a PRC passport or a passport of a different country, was reasonable. The Court states that an alien is bound by the nationality claimed or established at the time of entry for the duration of his or her stay in the United States. Thus, a dual national CSPA principal applicant must have claimed PRC nationality at the time of his or her last entry into the United States.

In *Chevron USA, Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Counsel*, 467 U.S. 837, 842-843 & n.9 (1984), the district court held that the practice of binding an alien to his claimed nationality "promotes the congressional policy of insuring that an alien will be able to return, voluntarily or otherwise, to his or her country of origin if requested to do so and provides for consistency in the enforcement of law, especially given the large numbers of nonimmigrant foreign nationals who visit the United States each year."

In *Matter of Ognibene*, 18 I&N Dec. 425 (BIA 1983), the Board of Immigration Appeals held that under appropriate circumstances in a given proceeding of law, the operative nationality of a dual national may be determined by his conduct without affording him the opportunity to elect which of his nationalities he will exercise. The General Counsel, in GENCO Op. 84-22 (July 13, 1984), reinforced this concept and states, "In interpreting a law which turns on nationality, the individual's conduct with regard to a particular nation may be examined. An individual's conduct determines his 'operative nationality.' The 'operative nationality' is determined by allowing the individual to elect which nationality to exercise. The nationality claimed or established by the nonimmigrant alien when he enters the United States must be regarded as his sole nationality for the duration of his stay in the United States." [Emphasis added].

The nationality the applicant claimed at the time he first came into contact with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services was that of the [REDACTED]

The [REDACTED] is not a designated foreign state under Section 244 of the Act. The applicant, therefore, does not meet the eligibility requirements of being a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act. Accordingly, as the applicant has not demonstrated that his "operative nationality" is that of a TPS-designated country, the director's decision to withdraw the applicant's TPS status will be affirmed.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.