

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

[REDACTED]

M₁

FILE: [REDACTED] Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: SEP 24 2010

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254


ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the Vermont Service Center by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a native and citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. §1254.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish that she was eligible for filing her TPS application after the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The director also determined that the applicant failed to submit a copy of her identity document bearing her photograph and/or fingerprint. The director, therefore, denied the application.

On appeal, counsel for the applicant claims that the AAO should sustain the applicant's appeal because the director erroneously concluded that the applicant did not qualify for late initial registration.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Secretary, Department of Homeland Security (Secretary), is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national, as defined in section 101(a)(21) of the Act, of a foreign state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
 - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the *Federal Register*, or
 - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
 - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;

- (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The term *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. §244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since February 13, 2001, and that they have been continuously physically present in the United States since March 9, 2001. On July 9, 2002, the Attorney General announced an extension of the TPS designation until September 9, 2003. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until March 9, 2012, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. The record reflects that the applicant filed her initial TPS application [REDACTED] on January 7, 2002. That application was denied on June 14, 2002. The applicant filed a motion to reopen and reconsider that was dismissed as untimely on April 14, 2004. The applicant filed a second TPS application on September 30, 2002, that was denied on January 16, 2004. No appeal was filed from the denial of that application. The applicant filed the current application on August 17, 2009

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or

requested by United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The record of proceeding confirms that the applicant filed her current application after the initial registration period had closed. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002, she fell within the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) (listed above). If the qualifying condition or application has expired or been terminated, the individual must file within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of the qualifying condition in order to be considered for the late initial registration. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(g).

On February 19, 2010, the applicant was provided the opportunity to submit evidence establishing her eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing her nationality and identity, her continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001 and her continuous physical presence in the United States from March 9, 2001 to the date of filing the application. The applicant, in response, provided sufficient evidence to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the qualifying periods. The applicant, however, did not present evidence of her eligibility for late registration or proof of her identity and nationality.

The director concluded that the applicant had failed to submit an identity document and had failed to establish late registration eligibility, and denied the application on June 2, 2010.

A review of the record contains a copy of the applicant's El Salvadoran passport. As such, the director's finding on this issue will be withdrawn.

On appeal, counsel states that the AAO should sustain the applicant's appeal because the director erroneously concluded that the applicant did not qualify for late initial registration. According to counsel, the applicant previously submitted evidence that her initial TPS application was pending with USCIS during the initial registration period and she is therefore eligible for TPS under section 244 of the Act. A TPS application is not a change of status application. Change of status, by regulation, is limited to a change of one nonimmigrant classification to another. TPS does not render nonimmigrant status to the applicant. Consequently, it does not qualify as a change of status application. The provisions for late registration detailed in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) were created in order to ensure that TPS benefits were made available to aliens who did not register during the initial registration period for the various circumstances specifically identified in the regulations. Having an application for TPS during the initial registration period does not render an alien eligible for late registration under 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2).

The applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that she has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS will be affirmed.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

While not the basis for the dismissal of the appeal, it is noted that on May 29, 1996, a removal hearing was held, and the applicant was granted voluntary departure from the United States on or before March 1, 1997. The applicant subsequently filed a Form I-212,¹ a Form I-601² and a Form I-485³, which were denied under separate cover on August 11, 2009. On the same date, a Form I-871, Notice of Intent/Decision to Reinstate Prior Order, and a Form I-220B, Order of Supervision, were issued that appear to be still in effect

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.

¹ Application for Permission to Reapply for Admission into the United States After Deportation or Removal.

² Application for Waiver of Grounds of Excludability.

³ Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status.