

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



M<sub>1</sub>

DATE: **MAY 23 2012** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the Vermont Service Center by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Honduras who is applying for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish that he was eligible for late registration.

On appeal, the applicant requests that his current application be reconsidered as he had filed his initial TPS application on January 14, 1999, and subsequent re-registration applications on July 5, 2000 and June 17, 2002.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
  - (1) Registers for TPS during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
  - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
    - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
    - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

- (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
- (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

Persons applying for TPS offered to Hondurans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since December 30, 1998, and that they have been continuously physically present since January 5, 1999. The initial registration period for Hondurans was from January 5, 1999, through August 20, 1999. Subsequent extensions of the TPS designation have been granted, with the latest extension granted until July 5, 2013, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite period.

To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above. If the qualifying condition or application has expired or been terminated, the individual must file within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of the qualifying condition in order to be considered for the late initial registration. 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(g).

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof, the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. See *Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004).

The record reveals that the applicant filed his initial application [REDACTED] on January 14, 1999. On January 11, 2000, the Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the application due to abandonment. No motion was filed from the denial of the application.<sup>1</sup>

On July 15, 2000 and June 17, 2002, the applicant filed Forms I-765, Application for Employment Authorization, which were denied because he was not eligible for employment authorization due to the denial of the initial TPS application

---

<sup>1</sup> A denial due to abandonment may not be appealed, but an applicant may file a motion to reopen. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(15).

On May 20, 2010, the applicant filed the current TPS application.

On May 10, 2011, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant, in response, only provided documentation relating to his continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to establish he was eligible for late registration and denied the application on October 17, 2011.

The provisions for late registration were not created to allow aliens who had abandoned their initial applications to circumvent the normal application and adjudication process. Rather, these provisions for late registration were created in order to ensure that TPS benefits were made available to aliens who did not register during the initial registration period for the various circumstances specifically identified in the regulations. The applicant has not submitted evidence that he has met one of those provisions outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS will be affirmed.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

Finally, as previously noted, the applicant filed his initial TPS application during the initial registration period. On October 4, 1999, a Request for Evidence was sent to the applicant at his address at the time, which requested him to submit evidence to establish his physical presence since January 5, 1999. Based on the record at the time of the initial filing, it appears there may have been sufficient evidence to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence during the requisite periods.

As the initial TPS application was denied due to abandonment, the AAO has no jurisdiction over the case. However, the director may consider reopening, *sua sponte*, the application to review the initial evidence in the record and make a determination of the applicant's eligibility pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c).

In addition, the record contains a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, dated January 8, 1999, authorizing [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] to act on behalf of the applicant. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(19) provides an applicant shall be sent a written decision on his or her application. Where the applicant has an authorized representative pursuant to § 103.2(a), that representative shall also be notified. However, there is no evidence that the decision of January 11, 2000, was sent to the applicant's address of record as it was only addressed to the representative.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.