

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE: **MAR 07 2013**

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the California Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a citizen of Haiti who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant had previously filed a frivolous asylum application and, therefore, he is permanently ineligible for any benefit under section 244 of the Act.

On appeal, counsel contends that the applicant did not file a frivolous asylum application and asserts that the applicant meets the criteria for TPS. Counsel further contends that TPS is not a benefit under the Act, and therefore, a determination that the applicant had filed a frivolous asylum application does not preclude his eligibility for TPS. Counsel submits a brief.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General, now the Secretary, Department of Homeland Security (Secretary), is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4.

Section 208(d) of the Act states, in pertinent part:

- (4) Notice of privilege of counsel and consequences of frivolous application.
 - At the time of filing an application for asylum, the Secretary shall –
 - (A) advise the alien of the privilege of being represented by counsel and of the consequences, under paragraph (6), of knowingly filing a frivolous application for asylum; and
 - (B) provide the alien a list of persons (updated not less often than quarterly) who have indicated their availability to represent aliens in asylum proceedings on a pro bono basis.

- (6) Frivolous application – If the Secretary determines that an alien has knowingly made a frivolous application for asylum and the alien has received the notice under paragraph (4)(A), the alien shall be permanently ineligible for any benefits under this Act, effective as of the date of a final determination on such application.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 208.20 provides:

For applications filed on or after April 1, 1997, an applicant is subject to the provisions of section 208(d)(6) of the Act only if a final order by an immigration judge or the Board of Immigration Appeals specifically finds that the alien knowingly filed a frivolous asylum application. For purposes of this section, an asylum application is frivolous if any of its material elements is deliberately fabricated. Such finding shall only be made if the immigration judge or the Board is satisfied that the applicant, during the course of the proceedings, has had sufficient opportunity to account for any discrepancies or implausible aspects of the claim. For purposes of this section, a finding that an alien filed a frivolous asylum application shall not preclude the alien from seeking withholding of removal.

The record reflects that the applicant's Form I-589, Application for Asylum and Withholding of Removal, was filed on April 9, 2001. The Form I-589 advised the applicant that if it is determined that he knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum, he would be permanently ineligible for any benefits under the Act. In addition, the applicant was notified by personal service of the privilege of counsel and consequences of knowingly filing a frivolous asylum application pursuant to section 208(d)(4) of the Act. The notice advised the applicant that if he knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum, he would be barred forever from receiving any benefits under the Act. On June 2, 2004, a Form I-862, Notice to Appear, was issued and it was served on the applicant on June 4, 2004. The transcripts of the decision of the immigration judge in the removal proceedings on June 26, 2006, indicates that the applicant was again advised by the immigration judge of the consequences of knowingly filing a frivolous asylum application.

On June 26, 2006, the applicant's asylum application was denied and he was ordered removed from the United States. The oral decision of the immigration judge (IJ) indicates that the court found the applicant to have filed a frivolous application for asylum and, therefore, he was permanently barred from receiving any benefits under the Act. The applicant appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On July 28, 2008, the BIA affirmed the IJ's decision. In its decision, the BIA found no basis to disturb the IJ's finding that the applicant had filed a frivolous application for asylum.

Based on the above finding, the director determined that the applicant was ineligible for TPS benefits and denied the re-registration application on July 9, 2012.

Counsel's contentions on appeal have been considered. Because the court found the applicant to have filed a frivolous application for asylum, there is a permanent bar to any benefit. Despite the temporary nature of TPS, it is a benefit nonetheless. The AAO is bound by the clear language of the statute and lacks the authority to change the statute. There is no waiver available, even for humanitarian reasons, due to the applicant's ineligibility pursuant to section 208(d)(6) of the Act. Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application on this ground will be affirmed.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.