


(b)(6)





U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



DATE: **MAR 26 2013**


Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 


IN RE: Applicant: 

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:




INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the Vermont Service Center. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you.



Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Honduras who is applying for Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish that he was eligible for late registration. The director also denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the applicant maintains that he entered the United States on December 29, 1998, and that he has never departed the United States since his entry. Counsel states that at the time the applicant applied for TPS, "he did not thoroughly review his application. Hence, he did not notice the error of the date of his entry as listed on the application." Counsel submits an affidavit from the applicant attesting to the error along with additional documents to support his claim of continuous residence in the United States.

Counsel indicates at Part 2 on the appeal form that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted to the AAO within 30 days.¹ However, more than a year later, no additional correspondence has been presented by counsel or the applicant. Therefore, the record must be considered complete.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and

¹ Every appeal submitted on the form prescribed by this chapter shall be executed and filed in accordance with the instructions on the form, such instructions being hereby incorporated into the particular section of the regulations in this chapter requiring its submission. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1). The Form I-290B instructs the applicant to submit a brief and additional evidence to the AAO within 30 days of filing the appeal.

(b)(6)

- (f) (1) Registers for Temporary Protected Status during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
- (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
- (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
 - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;
 - (iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or
 - (iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.
- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The term *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to Hondurans must demonstrate that they have continuously resided in the United States since December 30, 1998, and that they have been continuously physically present since January 5, 1999. The designation of TPS for Hondurans has been extended several times, with the latest extension valid until July 5, 2013, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. See *Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The initial registration period for Hondurans was from January 5, 1999, through August 20, 1999. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above.

The record reveals that the applicant filed his initial TPS application [REDACTED] on January 7, 2005, and indicated his date of entry as December 29, 2001. On May 18, 2006, the Director, California Service Center, denied the application as the applicant failed to respond to a Notice of Intent to Deny dated March 8, 2006. On August 29, 2006, the applicant filed a motion to reopen, which was dismissed as untimely filed on September 13, 2006.

The applicant filed TPS applications on June 2, 2006 [REDACTED] and on November 19, 2008 [REDACTED]. USCIS records indicate that the applications were denied or administratively closed on December 14, 2006 and February 13, 2009, because the applicant's initial TPS application had been denied.

The applicant filed the current TPS application on August 13, 2010. On September 15, 2011, the director denied the application because the applicant had not provided any new and compelling evidence that overcame the reasons for denying the initial TPS application.

On appeal, the applicant neither addresses the finding of his ineligibility as a late registrant nor provides any evidence to establish his eligibility as a late registrant. The provisions for late registration were created in order to ensure that TPS benefits were made available to aliens who did not register during the initial registration period for the various circumstances specifically identified in the regulations. The applicant has not submitted evidence that he has met one of those provisions outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second and third issues in this proceeding are whether the applicant has established his continuous residence in the United States since December 30, 1998, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since January 5, 1999.

On appeal, counsel submits affidavits from acquaintances of the applicant who claim to have known the applicant since 2000. Counsel also submits an affidavit from the applicant, who indicates that he last entered the United States on December 29, 1998. The applicant states that it was a typographical error on his initial TPS application listing his date of entry as December 2001.

The affidavits of the applicant and of the acquaintances are contradictory and raise questions to their authenticity. The record contains an affidavit notarized June 15, 2006, from the applicant's sister, [REDACTED] Florida, who indicated that the applicant has been residing with her at [REDACTED] Florida "since he came to this country on December 29, 2001."

Doubt cast on any aspect of an applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence. It is incumbent upon an applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I. & N. Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

Therefore, the reliability of the applicant's affidavit offered on appeal remains suspect and it must be concluded that the applicant was not in the United States on December 30, 1998 to establish continuous residence and on January 5, 1999 to establish continuous physical presence in the United States.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient credible evidence to satisfy the continuous residence (since December 30, 1998) and continuous physical presence (since January 5, 1999) requirements described in 8 C.F.R. §§ 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS on these grounds will also be affirmed.

Assuming, arguendo, the applicant had entered the United States on December 29, 1998, he would still remain ineligible for the benefit sought. As mentioned above, the applicant has not submitted any evidence to establish that he has met any of the criteria for late registration described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2).

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.