

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

DATE: NOV 08 2013

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of El Salvador who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the application because the applicant failed to establish he was eligible for late registration. The director also denied the application because the applicant had failed to establish his qualifying continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States during the requisite periods.

On appeal, the applicant asserts that the director erred in his decision to deny his application. The applicant states that he has demonstrated physical presence in the United States during the requisite period. The applicant submits copies of documents that were previously provided in response to the notice of September 13, 2011.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4; and
- (f)
  - (1) Registers for Temporary Protected Status during the initial registration period announced by public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or
  - (2) During any subsequent extension of such designation if at the time of the initial registration period:
    - (i) The applicant is a nonimmigrant or has been granted voluntary departure status or any relief from removal;
    - (ii) The applicant has an application for change of status, adjustment of status, asylum, voluntary

departure, or any relief from removal which is pending or subject to further review or appeal;

(iii) The applicant is a parolee or has a pending request for reparole; or

(iv) The applicant is a spouse or child of an alien currently eligible to be a TPS registrant.

- (g) Has filed an application for late registration with the appropriate Service director within a 60-day period immediately following the expiration or termination of conditions described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section.

The term *continuously physically present*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means actual physical presence in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous physical presence in the United States by virtue of brief, casual, and innocent absences as defined within this section.

The term *continuously resided*, as defined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.1, means residing in the United States for the entire period specified in the regulations. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual and innocent absence as defined within this section or due merely to a brief temporary trip abroad required by emergency or extenuating circumstances outside the control of the alien.

Persons applying for TPS offered to El Salvadorans must demonstrate continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. The designation of TPS for El Salvadorans has been extended several times, with the latest extension valid until March 9, 2015, upon the applicant's re-registration during the requisite time period.

The burden of proof is upon the applicant to establish that he or she meets the above requirements. Applicants shall submit all documentation as required in the instructions or requested by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS). 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(a). The sufficiency of all evidence will be judged according to its relevancy, consistency, credibility, and probative value. To meet his or her burden of proof the applicant must provide supporting documentary evidence of eligibility apart from his or her own statements. 8 C.F.R. § 244.9(b).

The first issue in this proceeding is whether the applicant is eligible for late registration.

The initial registration period for El Salvadorans was from March 9, 2001 through September 9, 2002. To qualify for late registration, the applicant must provide evidence that during the initial registration period he fell within at least one of the provisions described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2) above. The record reflects that the applicant filed his TPS application on July 7, 2011.

On September 13, 2011, the applicant was requested to submit evidence establishing his eligibility for late registration as set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). The applicant, in response, only provided documentation relating to his residence and physical presence in the United States.

The director determined that the applicant had failed to establish he was eligible for late registration and denied the application on April 22, 2013.

On appeal, the applicant neither addresses the finding of his ineligibility as a late registrant nor provides any evidence to establish his eligibility as a late registrant. The provisions for late registration were created in order to ensure that TPS benefits were made available to aliens who did not register during the initial registration period (March 9, 2001, to September 9, 2002) for the various circumstances specifically identified in the regulations. The applicant has not submitted evidence that he has met any of the provisions outlined in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(f)(2). Consequently, the director's conclusion that the applicant had failed to establish his eligibility for late registration will be affirmed.

The second and third issues to be addressed are whether the applicant has established his continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001.

Along with his TPS application, the applicant submitted a copy of his Texas Liability Insurance Card effective December 29, 2000 and a copy of his El Salvadoran passport.

On September 13, 2011, the applicant was also requested to submit evidence establishing his continuous residence since February 13, 2001 and continuous physical presence since March 9, 2001 in the United States. The applicant, in response, provided the following:

- A Service Invoice from [REDACTED] Texas dated May 16, 2001.
- A monthly service order from [REDACTED] Texas indicating that a contract was initiated on April 16, 2002 and that payments were made on June 16, 2002 and July 15, 2002.
- A car insurance policy effective May 17, 2004 from [REDACTED], and a banking statement from [REDACTED] for the period March 13, 2005 through April 13 2005.
- A banking statement from [REDACTED] dated June 22, 2004, and an invoice dated July 11, 2001 from [REDACTED] Texas.
- Earnings statements from [REDACTED] for the periods ending November 5 and 19, 2006, December 10, 2006 and January 24, 2010.
- Several money grams from [REDACTED] dated April 22, 2007, June 9, 2007, July 28, 2007, October 7, 2007, April 13, 2008, June 14, 2008, September 29, 2008, November 22, 2008, January 3, 2009 and March 15, 2009.

- A one-year lease agreement entered into between the applicant and [REDACTED] on November 28, 2009 for premises at [REDACTED] Texas.
- Billing statements from [REDACTED] addressed to the applicant at [REDACTED] Texas dated August 13, 2010, October 13, 2010, November 11, 2010, January 17, 2011, February 15, 2011, July 15, 2011, August 15, 2011, January 12, 2012 and February 15, 2012.
- Earnings statement from [REDACTED] for the periods ending March 31, 2013 and April 7, 2013.

The director determined that some of the documents provided appeared to have been altered. Specifically, the monthly service order from [REDACTED] and the insurance policy from [REDACTED] contained several misspelled words. The director noted that discrepancies in the names and numerical values raised questions to the validity of the evidence presented and, therefore, the documentation could not be considered credible. The director concluded that the evidence presented did not establish that the applicant had continuously resided and had been continuously physically present in the United States during the requisite periods and denied the application.

Doubt cast on any aspect of the applicant's proof may lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the application. It is incumbent upon the applicant to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence, and attempts to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies, absent competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth, in fact, lies, will not suffice. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1988).

On appeal, the applicant does not submit any new evidence to establish continuous residence and continuous physical presence in the United States. The evidence submitted on appeal was previously provided in response to the notice of September 13, 2011 and was addressed by the director in his decision of April 22, 2013.

The applicant has not submitted sufficient credible evidence to establish his qualifying continuous residence in the United States since February 13, 2001, and his continuous physical presence in the United States since March 9, 2001. The applicant has, therefore, failed to establish that he has met the criteria described in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2(b) and (c). Consequently, the director's decision to deny the application for TPS on these grounds will also be affirmed.

The application will be denied for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternative basis for denial. An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.