




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)



DATE: **OCT 25 2013**

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

FILE:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Temporary Protected Status under Section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1254a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The re-registration application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant claims to be a citizen of Haiti who is seeking Temporary Protected Status (TPS) under section 244 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1254.

The director denied the re-registration application because the applicant's initial TPS application (WAC1090059409) had been denied on January 27, 2011, and the applicant was not eligible to apply for re-registration for TPS.

On appeal, the applicant provided sufficient evidence to overcome the basis for the denial of the initial application. Specifically, documentation was submitted from the Circuit County Court for and in [REDACTED] Florida, indicating that the battery charge stemming from her arrest on October 31, 2002 had been dropped on November 7, 2002.

However, upon a *de novo* review,¹ information came to light that rendered the applicant statutorily ineligible for the benefit sought.

Section 244(c) of the Act, and the related regulations in 8 C.F.R. § 244.2, provide that an applicant who is a national of a foreign state as designated by the Attorney General, now the Secretary, Department of Homeland Security (Secretary), is eligible for TPS only if such alien establishes that he or she:

- (a) Is a national of a state designated under section 244(b) of the Act;
- (b) Has been continuously physically present in the United States since the effective date of the most recent designation of that foreign state;
- (c) Has continuously resided in the United States since such date as the Secretary may designate;
- (d) Is admissible as an immigrant except as provided under section 244.3;
- (e) Is not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 244.4.

Section 208(d) of the Act states, in pertinent part:

- (4) Notice of privilege of counsel and consequences of frivolous application.
– At the time of filing an application for asylum, the Secretary shall –

¹ The AAO conducts appellate review on a *de novo* basis. See *Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004).

- (A) advise the alien of the privilege of being represented by counsel and of the consequences, under paragraph (6), of knowingly filing a frivolous application for asylum; and
 - (B) provide the alien a list of persons (updated not less often than quarterly) who have indicated their availability to represent aliens in asylum proceedings on a pro bono basis.
- (6) Frivolous application – If the Secretary determines that an alien has knowingly made a frivolous application for asylum and the alien has received the notice under paragraph (4)(A), the alien shall be permanently ineligible for any benefits under this Act, effective as of the date of a final determination on such application.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 208.20 provides:

For applications filed on or after April 1, 1997, an applicant is subject to the provisions of section 208(d)(6) of the Act only if a final order by an immigration judge or the Board of Immigration Appeals specifically finds that the alien knowingly filed a frivolous asylum application. For purposes of this section, an asylum application is frivolous if any of its material elements is deliberately fabricated. Such finding shall only be made if the immigration judge or the Board is satisfied that the applicant, during the course of the proceedings, has had sufficient opportunity to account for any discrepancies or implausible aspects of the claim. For purposes of this section, a finding that an alien filed a frivolous asylum application shall not preclude the alien from seeking withholding of removal.

The record reflects that the applicant filed a Form I-589, Application for Asylum and Withholding of Removal. The Form I-589 advised the applicant that if it is determined that she knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum, she would be permanently ineligible for any benefits under the Act. At the time of her asylum interview, the applicant signed a Record of Asylum Applicant's Oath, which informed her of the consequences of knowingly filing a frivolous asylum application pursuant to section 208(d)(4) of the Act. The document, written in English and Creole, advised the applicant that if she knowingly filed a frivolous application for asylum, she would be permanently ineligible for any benefits under the Act.

On June 21, 2005, a removal hearing was held and the applicant's applications for asylum, withholding of removal and convention against torture were denied, and she was ordered removed from the United States. The oral decision of the immigration judge (IJ) indicates that the court found the applicant to have filed a frivolous application for asylum and, therefore, she was permanently barred from receiving any benefits under the Act. The applicant appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On January 30, 2007, the BIA dismissed the applicant's appeal.

On September 16, 2013, the AAO issued a notice to the applicant advising her that it was its intent to dismiss the appeal based upon the IJ's finding. The applicant was granted 30 days to submit a response. The record shows that as of the date of this decision, the applicant has failed to respond to the AAO's notice. Therefore, the record must be considered complete.

The AAO is bound by the clear language of the statute and lacks the authority to change the statute. There is no waiver available, even for humanitarian reasons, due to the applicant's ineligibility pursuant to section 208(d)(6) of the Act. Consequently, the application must be denied on this ground.

An alien applying for TPS has the burden of proving that he or she meets the requirements enumerated above and is otherwise eligible under the provisions of section 244 of the Act. The applicant has failed to meet this burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.